Members' request to answer all questions including in the list

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್ (ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ).—ನಾನು ಒಂದೇ ಒಂದು ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri G. CHANDRAKANTH.—Sir the previous question on I.P. sets could have been restricted and other questions should have been taken. At least I request you to treat my question as held-over question to be taken up tomorrow.

(Sri K. P. Nadgouda also rose)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I sympathise with the hon. Members. But I am sorry. I cannot help. Time is over.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಆಯ್ತು. ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಕೂಡ ಹೀಗೆ ಆಯ್ತು. 20 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ 10-15 ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಇವರು 20 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾದರೂ ಅದೆಲ್ಲ ವನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ, 20ನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಆಗದಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅದನ್ನು 15ಕ್ಕೆ ರಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ, ನಮ್ಮ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಚರಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ, ನಮ್ಮ ಜನರ ಕೇಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಈಡೇರಿಸಲು ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು 20 ಪ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ, 20ಕ್ಕೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬಹಳ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ (ಮುಧೋಳ).—ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧರಿರುವಾಗ ತಾವು ತಡೆಹಿಡಿದಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ನಾವು ನೀವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ 25 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದೇನು ? 25 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸ್ಟೈಪೆಂಡ್ ಹಾಕಿ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಯಿಂದಲೂ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಸಿಗದೆ ನಾನು ಕೂರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನೀವು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ಹಾಕಿದರೂ ಸರಿ, ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಸಿಗಲೇಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸದಾಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಪಾಟೀಲ್ (ದೇವದುರ್ಗ).—ತಾವು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾಲವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವ್ಯರ್ಥ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ತಾವು ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ 15-20 ನಿಮಿಷ ಹಾಸ್ತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಇವೊತ್ತು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is wrong on the part of Hon'ble Member to say that the Chair has wasted time. I want to tell the hon'ble Members that unless they co-operate by asking lesser number of supplementaries, it is impossible to finish all the questions.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಇವೊತ್ತು ನತ್ಯಾಗ್ರಹ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಾನು ಕುಳಿತು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್ (ಮೂಡಬಿದರೆ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will consider this in a more calm atmosphere.

Sri ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR (Bhadravati).—I request the Chair to kindly hold over this Question.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—On a point of order, Sir. The Minister for Finance has stated a few minutes ago that he would answer this particular question after a few minutes. But now when the question actually was reached in the list, the Chair declares Question-hour is over. By that is the Chair not curtailing the right of the Minister to

(SRI M. S. KRISHNAN)

answer and the privilege of the Member to hear the answer? Can such curtailment of the right of the Minister and a Member on this side be done by the Hon'ble Speaker?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸದಾಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇವೊತ್ತು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಮಯವನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ? * * * *

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ (ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ).—ಈಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಯಾರು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ಪ್ರತಿದಿನವೂ 20 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿದೆ. ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆ ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ತಾವು 5-10 ನಿಮಿಷ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ದಿವಸ ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮುಗಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ತಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will carry out my responsibilities.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Please make an allotment of time for each question and subsequent supplementaries.

Sri SADASIVAPPA PATIL.—You please refer to the previous days' proceedings. Time has been extended by half-an-hour. Why is it being denied to-day? Please call for reports of other days.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Members are very much agitated.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What happened yesterday was that left over questions were called again before the Question Hour was over. The list was allowed to be completed and no further question was taken. Now the question hour is over. That is the difficulty. With reference to a particular day, there may be hundreds of questions for answers. The questions will therefore be selected by lots and priority fixed for them in that way. So the other questions are bound to lapse. But the questions and their answers will go on record.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihar).—It is rather unfortunate that this situation has arisen right from the commencement of this Session. My only request to you is not only to look to the Members when questions are asked but also at the clock so that you could so apportion your time and see that as far as possible all the questions at least a substantial portion of them, are brought for oral answers. Sri Nadagouda is known to be one of the most silent Members in this House —silent in the sense that he is not vexatious like me. We have great respect for him. Let him be permitted to put his question, to easen the present situation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What about others?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I implore that these two hon. Members Sri Nadagouda and Sri Sadasivappa Patil, may be permitted to put

**** Mater not recorded as ordered by the Chair.

their questions. Tomorrow please see that almost all the questions are taken up by cutting down supplementaries.

Mr. SPEAKER.—How can I make discrimination like that ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It is only a question of acceding to a request Nothing more Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Supposing those two Members are permitted to put their questions now, will the others not press their questions ? If the Members give that undertaking, let me consider Sri Siddaveerappa's request.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I beg to differ from hon. member Sri Siddaveerappa. My question is as important as that of Sri Nadgouda because it pertains to beedi workers. Hon. Minister Sri K. Puttaswamy has to answer my question. He has furnished answer in the printed list in such a manner that the beedi workers will go to dogs. Let all the members be permitted to put their questions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall take up these questions tomorrow.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—What about my questions ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—All the questions that have remained unanswered will be taken up tomorrow.

Sri K. P. NADGOUDA.—I have a request that my question should be taken first tomorrow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall take these questions first tomorrow.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I have a point of order. According to the List, 20 questions are listed. So far we have exhausted only about 10 questions. Out of them, the Hon. Speaker, without any right or authority under the Rules of Procedure, has allowed questions 5, 145, 173 and 237 to be clubbed together although they are not in the serial order. When he exercised his discretion, it was assured to the House not directly but indirectly, that the Speaker will extend the Question Hour and put the questions passed over for answer. Questions 145 and 173 were prematurely put for answer long before they were due for answer. So questions printed subsequent to 132 and 137 were reached earlier than they were due, the hon. Speaker may exercise his discretion and extend today's Question Hour and see that all the questions in today's list are finished. The other questions not taken up today, should not be postponed to tomorrow. If these questions are deferred for tomorrow the same problem that arose today will again arise. If you hold out an assurance that the Question Hour will be extended tomorrow by half an-hour, then these questions may be taken up along with tomorrow's list. Otherwise they may be taken up today itself. My objection is that the Hon. Speaker has no discretion under the Rules to choose any question he wants and put it to the House. My stand is, they must be taken up in the order in which they are serially numbered.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member Sri Nagappa has raised a point of order to the effect that three questions which were not in serial order were clubbed together and that procedure should not have been adopted. I hold that objection has no force. As question No. 5 was similar to question Nos. 145, 173 and 237, they were clubbed together. All these questions related to one and the same subject and I was perfectly right in putting all of them together before the House; I do not see any irregularity in my action.

With regard to his presumption that the Question Hour would be extended, the Chair has already promised that all the left over questions of to-day will be taken up tomorrow and they will be placed first in tomorrow's agenda.

As to his third point about extension of time tomorrow, it may be considered tomorrow and not now. If possible, let us try to finish 30 to 40 questions within one hour. If that is not possible and extension of time is required, that will be considered tomorrow and not now.

AN HON. MEMBER.—I have a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There cannot be a point of order in between the interval of the disposal of one point and the commencement of the next item in the List of Business. Then a point of order can be raised only if relates to preserving order in the House or arrangement of business before the Assembly.

Business of the House

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—I raise a point of order. My point of order is, no confidence motion was permitted to be taken up on 21st January 1969. As per rule 153 it must be taken up either the next day or within three days thereafter. Today's agenda does not disclose that particular no-confidence motion is to be taken up today. My submission is that this no-confidence motion has to be taken up today. I want a ruling on that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member Sri D. B. Kalmankar has raised a point of order that the no-confidence motion should have been taken up today. I do not know why this objection was not raised when the announcement was made that the no-confidence motion would be discussed tomorrow, the 21st. This objection is too late in the day. There were two intervening holidays. According to principles accepted holidays are to be excluded for the purpose of counting. That way it is perfectly in order and there is no difficulty about it.

As regards the points raised by Sri M. S. Krishnan that since the Hon. Finance Minister was ready to answer the question and he should have been permitted, I must say that when questions are put for ora

answers, the Ministers are always ready. So, there was nothing extraordinary in the procedure followed when question was not taken up. When questions are not reached, the fact that the Minister wants to answer, cannot be an added reason to give precedence over other questions. There is no force in the point of order raised by Sri M. S. Krishnan. We shall proceed to the next item.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನಾನು ಹೋದ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ 10 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಅವಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಲ ಕಳಿಸಿರುವ 10 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ, ಒಂದೂ ಪ್ರಿಂಟಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರ ಅರ್ಥ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇರಾಖೆಯವರು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೋ ಅಥವಾ ನರಕಾರದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಬೇರೆ ಇದೆಯೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Replies to a number of questions have been received. Government have got 15 days time to reply. If hon. member just points out the question regarding which he is making a complaint, I shall make an enquiry. Hon'ble Member may pass on the questions to which he wants answers.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪಿ. ಗಂಗಾಧರ್ (ತುಮಕೂರು).—ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಅಂಡರ್‌ಗ್ರೌಂಡ್ ಡ್ರೈನೇಜ್ ಮತ್ತು ನೀರು ನರಬರಾಜು ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ನಾನು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಜುನಾಯಿತನಾಗಿ ಬಂದಾಗಿನಿಂದ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಏನೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೌಕರ್ಯಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಹೋದ ಸಲ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಲ ಕಳಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬಾರದೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ನರಕಾರಕ್ಕಿದೆಯೋ ಏನೋ ತಿಳಿಯದು. ಇದು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಊರಿನ ಮೂಲಕವೇ ಹೋಗಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. member may pass on the number of his questions. This will apply to all the other members. If any hon. member finds that there is any question which is not replied to, he may please pass it on to me. I shall try to expedite the matter.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I have sent a notice under rule 312 to raise a matter. It is not a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have given direction to my office to send a reply. In the meanwhile hon'ble member may meet me.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—The other day I and Sri D. B. Kalmankar came and discussed it with the Hon. Speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall check up the matter and find out what has been done. We will take it at the end of the day.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I have requested you to allot some time to raise this matter on the floor of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall give my ruling at 6 O'clock.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—The question of ruling does not arise.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall just see. We shall take it up at 6 O'clock.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—Thank you.

Privilege Motion *re* : Incorrect Replies by Deputy Minister for Health

Sri K. H. PATIL.—I have moved a privilege motion against Sri Basavannappa.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri K. H. Patil has given notice of a privilege motion. I had requested him to come and meet me in my chambers. He had come and discussed it with me. I have pointed out to him that there is no breach of privilege. Accordingly I have disallowed it. His complaint was that yesterday while answering supplementaries to a question regarding the visit of the Director of Health Services to Raichur, the answers given by the Deputy Minister and the Health Minister, were different and that there was a breach of privilege. When there is some thing incorrect, that can be corrected. Unless there was deliberate intention to mislead the House, there cannot be any breach of Privilege. Since no such circumstances exist, I have disallowed his motion.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Is it the Deputy Minister has misunderstood ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister will explain. Yesterday the Deputy Minister for Health said, that the Director cannot undertake tour in excess of 10 days. The Minister for Health said it is the minimum time for purpose of touring.

1-30 P.M.

Sri M. NAGAPPA (Raichur).—The Deputy Minister has to explain because he has given incorrect information to the House. Whereas the hon. Minister has given correct information.

Sri Y. RAMAKRISHNA (Minister for Health).—Mr. Speaker, according to the circular issued by the Directorate, officers of the Directorate are to undertake tours for 10 days in a month.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—The hon. Deputy Minister said that he cannot exceed 10 days in touring. On the contrary, the Hon. Minister for Health says that the minimum time is 10 days. Which is correct ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs).—The Hon. Health Minister has already corrected the Deputy Minister. I do not think there can be a point of privilege.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That I have already said.

Sri K. H. PATIL (Hubli).—Just to maintain the dignity of the House, if my hon. friend is really courteous enough, if he has misunderstood the rules or if he is unaware of the rules, let him say on account his ignorance he has said so for which he regrets.

ಶ್ರೀ ನದಾಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಪಾಟೀರ್.—ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರನ್ನು ಟೂರ್ ಯಾವಾಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಮಯಾನುಸಾರ ಟೂರ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಿನಿ

ನ್ಸರ್‌ವರು ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ 10 ದಿವಸ ಟೂರ್ ಮಾಡಿಯೇ ತೀರಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ನಿಯಮ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರ್‌ರವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ ? ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರ್‌ರವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ !

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—The privilege motion was not against the Minister for Health, but it is against the Deputy Minister who has given a wrong information to the House. So, correction has to be made not by the Hon. Minister but by the Deputy Minister. The Deputy Minister must say that he was not aware of the particular rules and regulations and he was not knowing that information. The Hon. Deputy Minister must correct his statement.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Deputy Minister has committed the mistake. It is he who has got to speak.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Probably they are afraid that the Deputy Minister might commit some other error. Therefore, the Minister hasgone to his rescue.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have heard the Hon. Members. The Constitutional position is that any Minister on behalf of the Ministry can reply to questions.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—You have to tell the Deputy Minister not to repeat it again. He must be careful whenever he speaks next time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I think the Hon. Deputy Minister will bear this in mind.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Is not the Deputy Minister competent to speak in the House ? Let him say that. Why should the Minister come to his rescue ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇದು ಮೊನ್ನೆ ನಡೆದ ಘಟನೆ. ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಕರಾರುವಾಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾದಾಗ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಆ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರಾರುವಾಕ್ಕಾದ ಸಮಾಚಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದು ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಇನ್ನೂ ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುವುದಾಗಲೀ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಕರಾರುವಾಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಅನೇಕರು ಮಾತನಾಡುವಾಗ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾತನಾಡಿರಬಹುದು. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಅದು ತಪ್ಪಾಯಿತು, ಅದು ನಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಯಾವ ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಂತ ಅನ್ನುವುದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—Sir.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let the Hon. Minister finish. I shall hear the hon. Member afterwards.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have risen on a point of order. I was trying to explain my point of order. The other day, a statement was made by the Hon. Deputy Minister for Health which was not correct. Immediately, the Hon. Minister for Health has corrected the statement. Therefore, there is no question of either privilege or even question of asking the Deputy Minister to explain. The Deputy

(SRI K. PUTTASWAMY)

Minister is not contending that what he has said, is correct. He is keeping quiet because he was corrected by the Hon. Minister for Health. Therefore no question of calling upon the Deputy Minister for Health to explain, would arise.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is not like that. Let us know the position correctly. It is nowhere stated in the rules that if after the Deputy Minister another Minister speaks, that amounts to correction. It is not so. Both the statements are there. Soon after the reply of the Deputy Minister, the Minister stood up and said that the Director has to tour for 10 days. Probably, if there was a little tact on the part of the Deputy Minister, he could have got up immediately and said that he stands corrected. Then the whole matter would have ended and all these things would not have arisen. The Minister did not say that he is correcting the answer of the Deputy Minister. Both the answers are there. Therefore, strictly and legally both the answers are there. It is for us to view which is correct and which is not correct. The whole thing would have been over if the Deputy Minister said that he stands corrected. If they allow these simple things to crop up, then many more things would come up.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—He can say that he has made an incorrect statement and that he regrets for the same.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ (ಭದ್ರಾವತಿ).—ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಲೀ, ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಬಹಳ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯುತವಾಗಿ ಫೈರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು, ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಏನು ಸಮಾಚಾರ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರು ತ್ತಾರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು, ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೋಡಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಫೈರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದೇ ಒಂದು ಅವರು ಹೇಳುವುದೇ ಒಂದು ಆಗಬಾರದು, ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಗುರುತರವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಅವರು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯುತವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಏನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಬಹಳ ಹಗುರವಾಗಿ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಭೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಬೆಲೆಯೂ ಇದ್ದ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅರಿತಿದ್ದರೆ, ಈ ಪ್ರಸಂಗ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಲು ಅಥವಾ ಈ ದಿವಸ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪುಟ್ಟ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಲು ಉದ್ಭವವಾಗುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಲೀ ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ತಪ್ಪು ಉತ್ತರ ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬಾರದೆಂಬ ಹೆದರಿಕೆ ಇರಬೇಕು.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳುವುದೇನಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಸವಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ (ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಪತಿಗಳೇ ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಲು ಅಥವಾ ಮತ್ತೆ ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಲೀ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನೆರ್ಲಾ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡೇ ಬರುತ್ತೇನೆ. In the present, case what I stated is that, if the Director of Health Services undertakes a tour, it must not exceed 10 days. There is a definite rule to that effect. That is what I stated in the Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So is it that what the hon'ble Deputy Minister stated is correct ?

Sri G. BASAVANNAPPA.—Yes, that is correct.

(Several hon'ble Members rose).

Mr. SPEAKER.—I must first give my ruling to the point of order raised by the Hon'ble Minister Sri Puttaswamy. We should utilize the time at our disposal in the house most fruitfully. As I stated earlier, the simple question that was raised by Sri K. H. Patil was whether there was any breach of privilege. The point was that when an answer was given by the Hon'ble Deputy Minister for Health the Minister for Health felt that it was a wrong answer, he therefore corrected the answer, and thus there were different answers. The position is that when an attempt is made by a representative of the Government to give a correct answer, the matter should normally end there unless incorrect answer was given deliberately to mislead the House. Unless there is evidence before me and before the House to show that there is a deliberate attempt to state falsehood, no breach of privilege can be raised and so my ruling is that there is no breach of privilege.

However, I connot now compel either the Hon'ble Deputy Minister or the Hon'ble Minister for Health to express regret. It is left to the individual Minister concerned to say or not to say that. So far as the notice about breach of privilege is concerned, I have given my ruling that there is no question of breach privilege in view of the fact that there is no material before me to say that there was a deliberate attempt to mislead the House. There the matter should end. There is much work before the House and I request the Hon'ble members to utilize the time at their disposal properly.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—I only want to make one appeal to the Chair. There are already two different statements and today there is another statement by the Hon'ble Deputy Minister for Health. If all these three statements are perused, I submit that there is a clear and *prima facie* case of breach of privilege and it is also clear that it is intentional. That is evident from the third statement of the Hon'ble Deputy Minister today. If it was not intentional and it was made by mistake, he would have said that 'I made a mistake and I stand corrected'. Then we would not have minded it. But now having made a wrong statement, he is trying to maintain it. In the interest of the maintenance of the dignity and decorum of the House it is essential that the Ministers should always come prepared with the correct information from the files and records and give correct answers on the floor of the House. In view of what has happened and the discussion that has taken place, the House may be allowed to take it up tomorrow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already given my ruling on that. If the member wants to give another motion, he may do so.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಚಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್. —ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಮೇರೆ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ಇದೆ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಿಸಿರಿ. ನಾನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಂಥ ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ಏನಾಯಿತು ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I connot compel anybody to be persent in the House. I can only request that everybody should be present in the House.

Sri V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).—I rise to a point of order, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—No point of order can be raised during the interval between the termination of one item of business and the commencement of another unless it relates to maintenance of order in, or arrangement of business, before the Assembly. Does the point of order relate to maintenance of order or arrangement of business ?

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Definitely Sir. Now there are three statements.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The point of order can be only with respect to maintenance of order or arrangement of business. As regards maintenance of order, nothing has happened here to restore order. The question hour is over and we are going to the next item and so in between there connot be any point of order under rule 311.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—My point of order relates to a matter which was discussed just now.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble member may refer to the proviso to rule 311 (1). There cannot be any point of order now.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I had already stood no up a point of order. Then you were pleased to ask me to wait. I obeyed you. Is obedience to the Chair a sin ? I am to be deprived of my opportunity to raise the point of order ? If I had persisted then itself in raising my point of order, I would have been allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it the intention of the House to waste time on matters which are not relevant to the business before the House ?

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Please avoid using such words. We are not here to waste the time of the House. We are responsible members and we have a sense of value of time.

Now, two different answers are given. Previously, we thought that it was un-intentional. The Deputy Minister now says : 'I know my responsibility and with full knowledge of facts and figures, I have said so.' He said that the Director of Medical Services has to tour for ten days in a month. He says : " I need not stand myself corrected and what I have said is 100 per cent correct." A statement is therefore necessary from the Health Minister as to whether his statement is correct or the statement of the Deputy Minister is correct.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have understood the hon. Member. It is very surprising that the hon. Member Sri V. N. Patil was inattentive when a similar question was raised by Sri K. H. Patil and the same was answered by the Chair. I had already given my Ruling stating that there is no breach of privilege. I cannot compel the hon. Minister or any Member to make a statement. It is for the hon. members to take such action as they please. I have already stated that. There is no Point of Order.